

EFFECTIVE MULTISECTORAL COORDINATION ON AMR: A LANDSCAPE OF EXPERIENCES AND LESSONS FROM II COUNTRIES

Photo credit Alphonse Acho

USAID MEDICINES, TECHNOLOGIES, AND PHARMACEUTICAL SERVICES (MTaPS) PROGRAM

Improved Access. Improved Services. Better Health Outcomes.

Mohan P. Joshi, MBBS, MSc, MD

Senior Principal Technical Advisor, Pharmaceutical Services and Technical Lead for GHSA/AMR activities

Side Meeting at the 6th GHSA Ministerial Meeting: "Moving Toward Best Practices in Multisectoral Coordination"

November 4, 2020

Outline

- Recognition of multisectoral coordination (MSC) for containment of antimicrobial resistance (AMR)
- USAID Medicines, Technologies, and Pharmaceutical Services (MTaPS) GHSA work on MSC-AMR
- MTaPS-supported benchmark actions on MSC-AMR
- Promising practices for multisectoral actions on AMR
- Challenges
- Lessons learned
- Conclusion

USAID Bangladesh Global Health Security Specialist Dr. Abul Kalam giving remarks at an AMR NAP meeting. Photo credit: Mohan Joshi

USAID MTaPS PROGRAM



Mapping workshop

on

lementation Status of National Action Plan of AMR

Date: December 09, 2019 Venue: Supernova Conference Room, MSH Office,

> Organized by: Directorate General of Heath Services (DGHS

Facilitated by: AID Medicines, Technologies, and Pharmaceutical



MSC: A Key Approach in Containing AMR

- Multisectoral coordination (MSC) is recognized as a critical approach in:
 - WHO Global Action Plan on AMR
 - Global Health Security Agenda (GHSA) 2024 Framework
 - GHSA AMR Action Package
- Joint External Evaluation (JEE 2018) tool* now includes MSC on AMR as a separate indicator (P.3.1)
- WHO benchmarks for IHR capacities (2019)** provide recommended actions for the various JEE capacity levels

Operationalization of national action plans on AMR through effective MSC

* https://www.who.int/ihr/publications/WHO HSE GCR 2018 2/en/

** https://www.who.int/ihr/publications/9789241515429/en/

IHR - International Health Regulations

USAID MTaPS PROGRAM

Needed action

USAID MTaPS: GHSA Support for AMR Containment

Mandate areas

- MSC-AMR (JEE indicator P.3.1)
- IPC (JEE indicator P3.3)
- AMS (JEE indicator P3.4)

Focus: Help raise country capacity as per JEE and in alignment with the WHO benchmarks on IHR

JEE = Joint External Evaluation IHR = International Health Regulations IPC = Infection prevention and control AMS = Antimicrobial stewardship

MTaPS GHSA Countries

- Bangladesh
- Burkina Faso
- Cameroon
- Côte d'Ivoire
- Democratic Republic of Congress (DRC)
- Ethiopia
- Kenya
- Mali
- Senegal
- Tanzania
- Uganda

Guiding Documents



WHO BENCHMARKS for International Health Regulations (IHR) Capacities

FEBRUARY 2019

(World Health Organization

Percentage of WHO IHR Benchmark Actions on MSC-AMR Completed with MTaPS support for Each JEE Capacity Level



JEE Indicator P.3.1: Effective MSC on AMR

Percent of IHR benchmark actions completed with MTaPS support

USAID MTaPS PROGRAM

Promising Practice I: Strengthen Governance of MSC-AMR Bodies

| Contributing actions | MTaPS examples |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| - Improve structures and management | TOR for MSC-AMR body (11 countries), IPC TWGs (9), and AMS TWGs (9) More regular meetings (11) |
| - Make the bodies diverse | CSO and journalists in Côte d'Ivoire MSC-AMR body Private sector (BioMerieux) in Kenya's AMS TWG NHIF in Tanzania's AMS TWG |
| - Enhance vertical coordination | One Health represented in MSC-AMR meetings in DRC Facility supportive supervision by MSC-AMR for IPC/AMS in Côte d'Ivoire, Kenya, and Tanzania |

TOR: terms of reference; TWG: Technical Working Group; CSO: civil society organization; NHIF – National Health Insurance Fund

Promising Practice 2: Facilitate NAP Implementation by MSC-AMR Bodies

| Contributing actions | MTaPS examples |
|--|--|
| Review, document, and disseminate progress on NAP implementation | Participation in TrACSS in DRC and Ethiopia Review of NAP-AMR implementation status and prioritization of actions in Bangladesh |
| Move beyond meetings and advocacy into catalyzing specific actions | AMS assessments followed by action plan (H,A) in Mali IPC assessments, guidelines, plans and training (H,A) in Cote d'Ivoire |
| - Develop and use tools that facilitate implementation | - NAP-AMR M&E framework in Bangladesh and Kenya and operational plan in Cameroon |

TrACSS – Tripartite AMR country self-assessment survey; NAP-AMR – National Action Plan on AMR; H – human sector; A – animal sector

Promising Practice 3: Improve Institutionalization and Sustainability of MSC actions

| Contributing actions | MTaPS examples |
|---|--|
| Mainstream AMR work to broader national agenda, plans, and initiatives* | AMR topics covered during an environmental conventions workshop for parliamentarians in Burkina Faso AMR work linked to existing QI initiatives in Tanzania |
| Make documented funding mobilization efforts for NAP- AMR activities | Developed and submitted concept notes for Tripartite AMR Multi-Partner Trust Fund in Ethiopia and Senegal |
| - Build on existing initiatives and foundations | - Existing AMR advisory mechanism reorganized into a multisectoral three-tiered structure in Ethiopia |

* such as universal health coverage (UHC); Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs); mother, newborn and child health (MNCH); quality improvement (QI); quality of care (QoC); and patient safety

Challenges

- MSC-AMR bodies are often formed without the backing of enabling policies and funding for their efficient function
- Animal-sector engagement in AMR response is gradually increasing, but the involvement of the environmental sector is still very low
- Human, financial, and technical resources are especially limited in the environmental and animal sectors
- There's a need to move beyond joint meetings, commitments, and advocacy to designing and implementing co-activities
- Ministry reorganizations and staff transfer slow down decision making and activity progress

Key Lessons Learned

- Use WHO Benchmarks for IHR Capacities tool to raise country JEE capacity
 - The tool helped to map and support capacity level-appropriate actions in all I countries and to standardize and cross-fertilize actions
- Use the MSC-AMR bodies and their TWGs in outbreak/pandemic response
 - The bodies/TWGs or members contributed to national response to COVID-19 in 9 countries
- Synergize actions by implementing more than one technical area in the same facility
 - MTaPS is supporting both IPC and AMS activities in 64 facilities across 7 countries

Conclusion

- Most LMICs have AMR governance structures but need help with enhancing their multisectoral functioning, expertise, and sustainability
- MSC approach is pivotal to sustainably strengthen capacities in technical areas key to containing AMR, such as IPC and AMS – a part of MTaPS AMR strategy
- MTaPS' GHSA work across 11 countries has helped identify some promising practices in forging effective MSC on AMR
- Ongoing MSC-AMR efforts by national and international partners should help the global community to collect, compile, and share best practices as articulated in the GHSA 2024 framework



Planting of a commemorative infection prevention & control (IPC) tree, symbolizing collaboration among the National AMR Secretariat, the Nyeri County Health Department of Kenya, and USAID MTaPS. Photo credit: Doris Bota

LMICs - low- and middle-income countries